Capacitance and charge relaxation resistance of chaotic cavities – Joint distribution of two linear statistics in the Laguerre ensemble of random matrices

Aurélien Grabsch[1,2] [(a)] and Christophe Texier[2] [(b)]

[1] *École Normale Supérieure de Cachan ; 94235 Cachan cedex, France.*
[2] *Univ. Paris Sud ; CNRS ; Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, UMR 8626 ; 91405 Orsay cedex, France.*

arXiv:1407.3302v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 12 Mar 2015

PACS 02.10.Yn – Matrix theory
PACS 05.60.Gg – Quantum transport
PACS 05.45.Mt – Quantum chaos ; semiclassical methods

Abstract – We consider the AC transport in a quantum RC circuit made of a coherent chaotic cavity with a top gate. Within a random matrix approach, we study the joint distribution for the mesoscopic capacitance $C_\mu = (1/C + 1/C_q)^{-1}$ and the charge relaxation resistance R_q, where C is the geometric capacitance and C_q the quantum capacitance. We study the limit of a large number of conducting channels N with a Coulomb gas method. We obtain $\langle R_q\rangle \simeq h/(Ne^2) = R_{\rm dc}$ and show that the relative fluctuations are of order $1/N$ both for C_q and R_q, with strong correlations $\langle \delta C_q \delta R_q\rangle / \sqrt{\langle \delta C_q^2\rangle \langle \delta R_q^2\rangle} \simeq +0.707$. The detailed analysis of large deviations involves a second order phase transition in the Coulomb gas. The two dimensional phase diagram is obtained.

Introduction. – The search for fast control and manipulation of charge in quantum coherent conductors has stimulated recent developments in time dependent response of mesoscopic structures [1]. Büttiker, Prêtre and Thomas (BPT) [2,3] have proposed a first theoretical description of the coherent AC response based on the scattering approach and a Thomas-Fermi treatment of electronic interactions. When applied to the elementary RC circuit, BPT formalism provides the "mesoscopic capacitance" C_μ and the "charge relaxation resistance" R_q, two coefficients carrying information about the quantum dynamics of charges and involved in the impedance of the circuit, $Z(\omega) = 1/(-\mathrm{i}\omega\, C_\mu) + R_q + \mathcal{O}(\omega)$. The capacitive response is splitted into two terms, $1/C_\mu = 1/C + 1/C_q$, where C is the geometric capacitance deduced from the Poisson equation and C_q the quantum capacitance (contribution of the density of states). In practice, the RC circuit can be realised with a chaotic cavity patterned in a two dimensional electron gas (2DEG) closed by a quantum point contact (QPC) and on the top of which is deposited a gate voltage capacitively coupled to the 2DEG (Fig. 1). A remarkable feature that has attracted a lot of attention is the universal value $R_q = h/(2e^2)$, independent of the transmission properties of the contact, for a contact with a single spin-polarised channel. This prediction [3] was demonstrated experimentally [1] by studying the circuit in the integer quantum Hall regime with filling factor one, i.e. in an effectively one-dimensional situation. This experimental advance has stimulated some theoretical efforts questioning the robustness of the universal result $R_q = h/(2e^2)$, shown to persist in the presence of Coulomb blockade, within a Hartree-Fock treatment of interaction [4] or beyond mean-field [5] (see also [6]). The transition between the universal *half* quantum resistance



Figure 1: A chaotic cavity in a 2DEG (blue) with top gates.

[(a)] aurelien.grabsch@ens-cachan.fr
[(b)] christophe.texier@u-psud.fr

and the QPC's DC resistance $R_q \simeq h/(e^2\mathcal{T}) = R_{\rm dc}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is the transmission probability through the QPC, was shown to result from the presence of dephasing [7].

If instead the system is studied in the weak magnetic field limit, electronic transport is sensitive to the complex (chaotic) dynamics inside the cavity. When the dwell time is much larger than the Thouless time, random matrix theory (RMT) is a powerful approach, which has allowed to obtain several results: for a perfect contact with N conducting channels, the distribution of C_q for $N = 1$ [8], $N = 2$ [9] and large N [10]. The distribution of R_q was found in the case $N = 2$ in Ref. [11] and the mean value $\langle R_q\rangle \simeq h/(e^2N\mathcal{T}) = R_{\rm dc}$ for $N\mathcal{T} \gg 1$ [12]. [1] The statistical properties of R_q for arbitrary N and the correlations with C_q have remained unknown so far: it is the aim of this letter to answer this question.

Quantum mechanical properties of a single contact conductor (Fig. 1) with N conducting channels are encoded in the $N \times N$ scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon)$. Whereas many simple properties, like conductance or shot noise, can be obtained within the simple assumption of a uniform distribution of $\mathcal{S}$ over the unitary group [14], AC transport involves some information about the *energy dependence* of the scattering matrix: a Thomas-Fermi treatment of screening shows that the complex admittance $G(\omega) = 1/Z(\omega)$ can be written as $1/G(\omega) = 1/G_0(\omega) + 1/(-\mathrm{i}\omega C)$, where $G_0(\omega)$ is the AC conductance of the non-interacting electron gas [2]. At zero temperature, its low frequency expansion takes the form $G_0(\omega) = (e^2/h)\big[-\mathrm{i}\omega\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}\} + (1/2)\omega^2\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}^2\} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^3)\big]$ where $\mathcal{Q} = -\mathrm{i}\hbar\mathcal{S}^\dagger\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial\varepsilon$ is the Wigner-Smith time delay matrix [15] taken at Fermi energy (finite temperature involves additional convolutions with Fermi functions); spin-degeneracy can be accounted for with the trace. C_μ and R_q appear in the $\omega \to 0$ expansion of the admittance: we get $C_q = (e^2/h)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}\}$, where $\nu \simeq \mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}\}/h$ is the density of states of the open conductor, and $R_q = [h/(2e^2)]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}^2\}/\big(\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}\}\big)^2$ [3]. For a perfect contact such that $\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle = 0$, where $\langle\cdots\rangle$ denotes ensemble averaging, the matrix $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ was shown to belong to the Laguerre ensemble of RMT [16,17]:

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_N) \propto \prod_{i<j}|\gamma_i-\gamma_j|^\beta \prod_k \gamma_k^{\beta N/2} e^{-\beta\gamma_k/2}\,, \qquad (1)$$

where the proper time $\tau_i = \tau_{\rm H}/\gamma_i$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}$, $\tau_{\rm H} = h/\Delta$ is the Heisenberg time and Δ the mean level spacing. $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ is the Dyson index corresponding to orthogonal, unitary or symplectic symmetry classes [14]. We introduce the dimensionless quantities $s = \mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}\}/\tau_{\rm H}$ and $r = N\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\{\mathcal{Q}^2\}/\tau_{\rm H}^2$ in terms of which

$$C_q = \frac{e^2}{\Delta}\,s \quad \text{and} \quad R_q = \frac{h}{Ne^2}\,\frac{r}{2s^2}\,. \qquad (2)$$

Our aim is to analyse the joint distribution $P_N(s,r)$.

Main results. – For large N, it takes the scaling form

$$P_N(s,r) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \exp\big\{-(\beta/2)N^2\Psi(s,r)\big\}\,, \qquad (3)$$

where $\Psi(s,r)$ is a large deviation function. The distribution is dominated by a narrow Gaussian peak centered around $\langle s\rangle = 1$ and $\langle r\rangle \simeq 2$ (the orange ellipse marked MP on Fig. 2), leading to $\langle C_q\rangle = e^2/\Delta$ and $\langle R_q\rangle \simeq h/(Ne^2) = R_{\rm dc}$. We have recovered that $\langle R_q\rangle$ coincides with the DC resistance of the QPC, as expected [12] (see footnote 1); although the scaling $R_q \sim 1/N$ was noticed in [3], we see that there is no simple combination rule as for the DC resistance since the contribution to $\langle R_q\rangle$ per channel crosses over from $h/(2e^2)$ for $N = 1$ to h/e^2 for $N \gg 1$. [2] Standard deviations are described by the expansion for $\delta s = s - 1 \ll 1$ and $\delta r = r - 2 \ll 1$:

$$\Psi(s,r) \simeq \begin{pmatrix}\delta s & \delta r\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}4 & 24\\ 24 & 160\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\delta s\\ \delta r\end{pmatrix}\,, \qquad (4)$$

written in terms of the covariance matrix (this latter could also be obtained by other means [18,19]). We recover $\langle\delta C_q^2\rangle/\langle C_q\rangle^2 = \mathrm{Var}(s) \simeq 4/(\beta N^2)$ [10,13,20,21] and, writing $R_q \simeq [h/(Ne^2)](1 - 2\delta s + \delta r/2)$, we get $\langle\delta R_q^2\rangle/\langle R_q\rangle^2 \simeq 8/(\beta N^2)$. The strong correlations between proper times are responsible for much smaller relative fluctuations, $\sim 1/N$, than that of the sum of independent variables, $\sim 1/\sqrt{N}$. Eq. (4) shows that s and r, and thus C_q and R_q, are strongly correlated (this is illustrated by the orange ellipse on Fig. 2): $\langle\delta C_q\delta R_q\rangle/\sqrt{\langle\delta C_q^2\rangle\langle\delta R_q^2\rangle} = +1/\sqrt{2}$. The RC time $\tau_{RC} = R_qC_\mu = [r/(2s)]\,\tau_{\rm dwell}/(1 + s\,E_C/\Delta)$ is also of interest, where $\tau_{\rm dwell} = h/(N\Delta)$ is the dwell time and $E_C = e^2/C$ the charging energy. We find $\langle\tau_{RC}\rangle \simeq \tau_{\rm dwell}/(1 + E_C/\Delta)$, with relative fluctuations of order $1/N$ as well.

The large deviation function $\Psi(s,r)$ has a rich structure whose analysis can be formulated as the study of thermodynamic properties of a one-dimensional gas of N particles with logarithmic interactions (the "Coulomb gas"). The available region in the plane (s,r) is bounded by two constraints: $r \geqslant s^2$ coming from the Jensen's inequality and $r \leqslant Ns^2$ (vertical axis $s = 0$ in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$) due to the positivity of the γ_i's, implying $h/(2Ne^2) \leqslant R_q \leqslant h/(2e^2)$. In the right part of the phase diagram (Fig. 2), we have found a particle density with compact support. Near the lower boundary, we get a logarithmic divergence of the large deviation function (i.e. the energy of the gas)

$$\Psi(s,r) \simeq -\frac{1}{2}\ln(r-s^2) \quad \text{for } r - s^2 \to 0^+ \qquad (5)$$

(cf. Fig 3). This behaviour corresponds to the rapid vanishing $P_N(s,r) \sim (r-s^2)^{\beta N^2/4}$. Correspondingly, the

1. The mean value of the admittance was first obtained in Ref. [13], leading to $\langle R_q\rangle = h/(Ne^2)\,[1 + (2/\beta - 1)/N + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2})]$, where the second term is the weak localisation correction (see also [14]). Only the fluctuations of the capacitive part of the response was obtained in this reference.

2. for $N = 2$, using the distribution of Ref. [11], we obtain $\langle R_q\rangle = (3/4)\,h/(2e^2)$ for $\beta = 1$ and $\langle R_q\rangle = (5/7)\,h/(2e^2)$ for $\beta = 2$.



Figure 2: Phase diagram of the Coulomb gas. The black line corresponds to a 2nd order transition. Along the brown vertical line, the bulk density is frozen. The dotted-dashed blue line bounds the domain of existence of the compact phase.

distribution of R_q vanishes as $\sim [R_q - h/(2Ne^2)]^{\beta N^2/4}$ for $R_q \to h/(2Ne^2)$. Across the black line of Fig. 2, the Coulomb gas exhibits a phase transition towards a phase characterised by a density with a non compact support, where one particle splits off the bulk and brings a "macroscopic" contribution to $\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\mathcal{Q}^2\right\}$. In this region the large deviation function is independent of r:

$$\Psi(s,r) \simeq \Phi_-(s) \tag{6}$$

where $\Phi_-(s)$ is the large deviation function related to the marginal law of the variable s obtained in Ref. [10], with limiting behaviours $\Phi_-(s) \simeq (1/4)(s-1)^2$ for $s \to 1^-$ and $\Phi_-(s) \simeq 1/s + (3/2)\ln s$ for $s \ll 1$ (blue curve on Fig 3).

Coulomb gas method. – The Coulomb gas method, introduced by Dyson, starts with the interpretation of the distribution (4) as the Gibbs equilibrium measure for N "charges" of "positions" γ_i's [10, 22–26]. Rescaling the dimensionless rates as $\gamma_i = Nx_i$ and introducing the charge density $\rho(x) = (1/N)\sum_{i=1}^N \delta(x - x_i)$ lead to $\mathcal{P}(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_N) \propto \exp\left\{-(\beta/2)N^2\mathscr{E}[\rho]\right\}$, where the energy

$$\mathscr{E}[\rho] = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, \rho(x)\,(x - \ln x) - \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}x'\, \rho(x)\,\rho(x')\,\ln|x - x'| \tag{7}$$

describes confinement by the potential $V(x) = x - \ln x$ and logarithmic repulsion between the charges. The analysis of the joint distribution of $s = (1/N)\sum_i x_i^{-1}$ and $r = (1/N)\sum_i x_i^{-2}$ can be recast as an energy minimization problem under three constraints, leading to consider the "free energy" $\mathscr{F}[\rho] = \mathscr{E}[\rho] + \mu_0\left(\int \mathrm{d}x\,\rho(x) - 1\right) + \mu_1\left(\int \mathrm{d}x\,\rho(x)/x - s\right) + \mu_2\left(\int \mathrm{d}x\,\rho(x)/x^2 - r\right)$, where μ_0, μ_1 and μ_2 are three Lagrange multipliers. Minimization of the free energy, $\delta\mathscr{F}[\rho]/\delta\rho(x) = 0$, and derivation with respect to x, leads to the equilibrium condition

$$1 - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{\mu_1}{x^2} - \frac{2\mu_2}{x^3} = 2\fint_a^b \mathrm{d}x'\,\frac{\rho(x')}{x - x'} \quad \text{for } x \in [a,b] \tag{8}$$

where $\fint$ represents the principal part. We have assumed that the distribution has a compact support $[a,b]$. Eq. (8) expresses equilibration of the two forces (confinement and repulsion) acting on the charge at x. Solving Eq. (8) provides the density as a function of μ_1 and μ_2; imposing the three constraints furnishes the dependence of the Lagrange multipliers in s and r, i.e. two functions $\mu_1 = \mu_1^\star(s,r)$ and $\mu_2 = \mu_2^\star(s,r)$, from which we deduce the equilibrium density $\rho_\star(x;s,r)$, as a function of s and r. Denoting by $\rho_{\mathrm{MP}}(x)$ the solution for $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, we get the form (3) with $\Psi(s,r) = \mathscr{E}[\rho_\star] - \mathscr{E}[\rho_{\mathrm{MP}}]$, where the second term comes from the normalisation of $P_N(s,r)$.

Thermodynamic identities. – We have obtained [27]

$$\frac{\partial\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star]}{\partial s} = -\mu_1^\star(s,r) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star]}{\partial r} = -\mu_2^\star(s,r)\,, \tag{9}$$

which have simplified the determination of the energy: knowing $\rho_\star$, instead of a direct calculation of $\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star]$ from Eq. (7), as in Refs. [10, 22–24, 26], the identities (9) allow to obtain $\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star]$ more efficiently by integration of the Lagrange multipliers, which solve algebraic equations obtained below.

Compact phase. – Eq. (8) is solved with Tricomi's theorem [28] (see also Ref. [23, 26]). We get

$$\rho_\star(x;s,r) = \frac{x^2 + c\,x + d}{2\pi x^3}\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}\,, \tag{10}$$

where $c = \mu_1/\sqrt{ab} + \mu_2\,(a+b)/(ab)^{3/2}$ and $d = 2\mu_2/\sqrt{ab}$. Given s and r, we find the support $[a,b]$ by solving

$$s = \Big[2u\,(3 - 4u + 18u^2 - 4u^3 + 3u^4) - v\,(1-u)^4(1 + 4u + u^2)\Big]\frac{1}{32u^3v}\,, \tag{11}$$

$$r = \Big[2u\,(9 - 10u + 39u^2 - 12u^3 + 39u^4 - 10u^5 + 9u^6) - 3v\,(1-u^2)^4\Big]\frac{1}{128u^4v^2}\,, \tag{12}$$

where $u = \sqrt{a/b}$ and $v = \sqrt{ab}$. Then the Lagrange multipliers are given by

$$\mu_1 = \Big[2u\,(-9 + 4u - 6u^2 + 4u^3 - 9u^4) + 3v\,(1-u^2)^2(1+u^2)\Big]\frac{v}{2u(1-u^2)^2}\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\mu_2 = -v^2\,\frac{2u\,(-3 + 2u - 3u^2) + v\,(1-u^2)^2}{(1-u^2)^2}\,. \tag{14}$$

Setting $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ corresponds to relaxing the two constraints and to searching for the global minimum of

$\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star]$ [i.e. the maximum of $P_N(s,r)$]. This leads to $u = x_- \equiv u_{\rm MP}$ and $v = 1 \equiv v_{\rm MP}$, where $x_\pm = 3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}$, corresponding to the Marčenko-Pastur (MP) law [10, 17, 29] $\rho_{\rm MP}(x) = \sqrt{(x-x_-)(x_+-x)}/(2\pi x)$. We deduce the two typical values $s = \int \mathrm{d}x\, \rho_{\rm MP}(x)/x = 1$ and $r = \int \mathrm{d}x\, \rho_{\rm MP}(x)/x^2 = 2$, i.e. $\rho_\star(x;1,2) = \rho_{\rm MP}(x)$.

Small deviations around this solution can be easily studied by using (9), leading to $\mathscr{E}[\rho_\star] \simeq \mathscr{E}[\rho_{\rm MP}] - (1/2)(s-1)^2 \partial\mu_1/\partial s\big|_{\rm MP} - (1/2)(r-2)^2 \partial\mu_2/\partial r\big|_{\rm MP} - (s-1)(r-2)\partial\mu_1/\partial r\big|_{\rm MP}$, where derivatives are calculated at $u = u_{\rm MP}$ and $v = v_{\rm MP}$, hence Eq. (4).

The vicinity of the lowest boundary $r - s^2 \to 0^+$ of the phase diagram, i.e. $u \to 1^-$, is studied as follows: Eqs. (11,12) give $u \simeq 1 - 2\sqrt{r-s^2}/s$ and $v \simeq 1/s$. Then the expansion of the Lagrange multipliers (13,14) and their integration thanks to (9) eventually lead to (5).

These results have been confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations of the Coulomb gas which will be described elsewhere [27].

Phase transition. – Besides the two natural constraints $r \geqslant s^2$ and $r \leqslant N s^2$, Eqs. (11,12) do not have real solutions in the full available domain. A necessary condition for the existence of the solution (10) is $x^2 + c\,x + d \geqslant 0$ for $x \in [a,b]$, which leads to the domain bounded by the blue dashed-dotted line on Fig. 2. A similar phenomenon has already occured elsewhere [10, 24, 30]. The origin of the problem can be identified by inspection of the effective confining potential $V_{\rm eff}(x) = x - \ln x + \mu_1/x + \mu_2/x^2$ from which the force of the left hand side of Eq. (8) derives: when $\mu_2 < 0$ the compact phase is unstable (or metastable). The line $\mu_2 = 0$ thus defines where a phase transition takes place.

In the region of the phase diagram at the left of the line $\mu_2 = 0$ (Fig. 2), the compact phase (density with compact support) is energetically unfavorable or unstable. The new phase corresponds to one charge at x_1 splitted off the bulk: $\rho(x) = (1/N)\,\delta(x-x_1) + \tilde\rho(x)$ where the density $\tilde\rho(x)$ with compact support describes the remaining $N-1$ charges forming the "bulk". The fact that one proper time $\tau_1 = \tau_{\rm H}/(N x_1)$ is dominant can be interpreted as the contribution of a narrow resonance in the original scattering problem [10]. The bulk density $\tilde\rho(x)$ and the position x_1 are determined by $\delta\mathscr{F}[\rho]/\delta\tilde\rho(x) = 0$ and $\partial\mathscr{F}[\rho]/\partial x_1 = 0$, leading to

$$1 - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{\mu_1}{x^2} - \frac{2\mu_2}{x^3} = \frac{2/N}{x - x_1} + 2\int_a^b \mathrm{d}x'\, \frac{\tilde\rho(x')}{x - x'} \qquad (15)$$

$$1 - \frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{\mu_1}{x_1^2} - \frac{2\mu_2}{x_1^3} = 2\int_a^b \mathrm{d}x'\, \frac{\tilde\rho(x')}{x_1 - x'}\,. \qquad (16)$$

We expect $x_1 \to 0$ while the bulk remains at distance $a \gg x_1$ from the origin. The analysis of (16) shows that μ_2 must absorb the main divergence of the left hand side in the $x_1 \to 0$ limit; thus $2\mu_2 \simeq -\mu_1 x_1 - x_1^2 \to 0$. It follows that, if $N \gg 1$, Eq. (15) has for solution the one describing the marginal law of the variable s studied in Ref. [10], leading to an energy profile flat in the r direction (at lowest order in $1/N$), Eq. (6) (cf. Fig 3). From $r = 1/(N x_1^2) + \int \mathrm{d}x\, \tilde\rho(x)/x^2$, we deduce $x_1 \simeq 1/\sqrt{N(r - r(s))}$ where $r = r(s)$ is the line where $\mu_2 = 0$ (black line on Fig. 2). The energy of the gas contains a contribution $-(1/N)\ln x_1$ from the potential energy of the isolated charge, subdominant in $1/N$ but diverging as $x_1 \to 0$.[3] Hence $P_N(s,r) \sim (r - r(s))^{-\beta N/4} \exp\left\{-(\beta/2) N^2 \Phi_-(s)\right\}$.

For $r \leqslant 2$ and $s \leqslant 1$, the phase transition takes place at the black line of Fig. 2 (the dashed part between MP and C corresponds to a metastable branch for the compact phase [10]); μ_1 and μ_2 are continuous across the line but μ_2 is not differentiable, hence the phase transition is *second order*. For $s \geqslant 1$, the line $\mu_2 = 0$ corresponds to the frozen phase of Ref. [10] (with $\mu_1 \simeq 0$): $\tilde\rho(x) = \rho_{\rm MP}(x) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$ with $x_1 \simeq 1/[N(s-1)]$. This frozen phase exists on the line $r = 1/(N x_1^2) + \int \mathrm{d}x\, \tilde\rho(x)/x^2 \simeq N(s-1)^2 + 2$ (brown line of Fig. 2). Although we have not yet determined $\rho_\star$ in the last region between the brown vertical line and the blue dashed-dotted line, nor its energy, we have strong reasons to believe that the support of the density is splitted into two disconnected intervals, like it occurs in other problems (e.g. [26,31]); this is supported by the analysis of the shape of the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(x)$ (when $\mu_2 > 0$ and $\mu_1 < 0$) and the study of the polynomial $x^2 + c\,x + d$ in (10) in the vicinity of the dotted-dashed blue line [27].



Figure 3: Large deviation function for three values of r. Dashed lines set the boundary for the compact phase.

Recently, the existence of phase transitions driven by large deviations was studied in Ref. [32] by considering two linear statistics of the form $s = \sum_i y_i$ and $r = \sum_i y_i^\eta$ where the random variables y_i are identical and *uncorrelated* (in our case, the marginal law of τ_i has a power law tail). Although part of the phase diagram is reminiscent of ours, we see that correlations induce a richer structure.

Conclusion. – We have studied the joint distribution for two linear statistics in the Laguerre ensemble of RMT, leading to the study of a two dimensional phase diagram

3. Note that the energy contains other $1/N$ terms and the entropy of the bulk density [23], neglected here, also produces another s-dependent contribution of order $1/N$ to be added to the energy $\mathscr{E}[\tilde\rho]$.

for the Coulomb gas. Our analysis has been greatly simplified by the use of the thermodynamics identities (9). We have obtained the large deviation functions and the phase diagram; the region remained unexplored here will be hopefully unveiled in a forthcoming paper [27]. We have deduced some properties for the distribution of the charge relaxation resistance: position and width of the dominant Gaussian peak, behaviour near the lower boundary, for $R_q \to h/(2Ne^2)$. However the large deviations related to the behaviour of the distribution near the upper boundary, for $R_q \to h/(2e^2)$, is still unknown. The universal value of the charge relaxation resistance for $N = 1$ channel has been measured for a quantum dot in the integer quantum Hall regime [1] ; similar experimental study in the weak magnetic field regime with many open channels should allow for an investigation of the charge relaxation resistance's statistical properties, hopefully revealing the rich structure of its distribution in connection with the phase transitions in the Coulomb gas discussed here. Such a study relies on the possibility to realize sample averaging, which was recently achieved for a single sample by using gate voltages in an appropriate way in the remarkable experiment on phase coherence time [33].

Another challenging issue is related to the possible decorrelation of linear statistics, as pointed out in the covariance analysis of the conductance g and the shot noise p of a two terminal conductor with N_1 and N_2 channels at the two contacts [18,34]. The vanishing of the covariance obtained in [34], $\mathrm{Cov}(g,p) \simeq (2/\beta)\big[(1-2/\beta)^2 - (N_1 - N_2)^2\big](N_1N_2)^2/(N_1+N_2)^6$, when $N_1 = N_2$ and $\beta = 2$, was attributed to the symmetry $T_i \leftrightarrow 1 - T_i$ of the distribution of the transmission probabilities T_i's. In the highly asymmetric limit, $N_1 \gg N_2$, the results of Ref. [34] lead to a full anticorrelation $\mathrm{Cov}(g,p)/\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(g)\mathrm{Var}(p)} \simeq -1$. An interesting question would be to examine these phenomena at the level of the joint distribution itself and the implication for the thermodynamics of the Coulomb gas.

Acknowledgements. – We acknowledge stimulating discussions with Satya Majumdar, Christophe Mora, Grégory Schehr, Denis Ullmo and Pierpaolo Vivo.

References

[1] Gabelli J., Fève G., Berroir J.-M., Plaçais B., Cavanna A., Etienne B., Jin Y. and Glattli C., Violation of Kirchhoff's laws for a coherent RC circuit, *Science*, **313** (2006) 499.

[2] Büttiker M., Prêtre A. and Thomas H., Dynamic conductance and the scattering matrix of small conductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **70** (1993) 4114.

[3] Büttiker M., Thomas H. and Prêtre A., Mesoscopic capacitors, *Phys. Lett. A*, **180** (1993) 364.

[4] Nigg S. E., López R. and Büttiker M., Mesoscopic charge relaxation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **97** (2006) 206804 ; Ringel Z., Imry Y. and Entin-Wohlman O., Delayed currents and interaction effects in mesoscopic capacitors, *Phys. Rev. B*, **78** (2008) 165304.

[5] Hamamoto Y., Jonckheere T., Kato T. and Martin T., Dynamic response of a mesoscopic capacitor in the presence of strong electron interactions, *Phys. Rev. B*, **81** (2010) 153305 ; Mora C. and Le Hur K., Universal resistances of the quantum resistance-capacitance circuit, *Nat. Phys.*, **6** (2010) 697.

[6] Etzioni Y., Horovitz B. and Le Doussal P., Rings and boxes in dissipative environments, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **106** (2011) 166803.

[7] Nigg S. E. and Büttiker M., Quantum to classical transition of the charge relaxation resistance of a mesoscopic capacitor, *Phys. Rev. B*, **77** (2008) 085312.

[8] Gopar V. A., Mello P. A. and Büttiker M., Mesoscopic capacitors: a statistical analysis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77** (1996) 3005.

[9] Savin D. V., Fyodorov Y. V. and Sommers H.-J., Reducing nonideal to ideal coupling in random matrix description of chaotic scattering: Application to the time-delay problem, *Phys. Rev. E*, **63** (2001) 035202.

[10] Texier C. and Majumdar S. N., Wigner time-delay distribution in chaotic cavities and freezing transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **110** (2013) 250602 ; *ibid* **112**, 139902 (2014).

[11] Pedersen M. H., van Langen S. A. and Büttiker M., Charge fluctuations in quantum point contacts and chaotic cavities in the presence of transport, *Phys. Rev. B*, **57** (1998) 1838.

[12] Büttiker M., "Charge fluctuations and dephasing in coulomb coupled conductors" in *Quantum mesoscopic phenomena and mesoscopic devices*, edited by Kulik I. O. and Ellialtioglu R., Vol. **559** (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht) 2000, p. 211 (cond-mat/99 11 188).

[13] Brouwer P. W. and Büttiker M., Charge-relaxation and dwell time in the fluctuating admittance of a chaotic cavity, *Europhys. Lett.*, **37** (1997) 441.

[14] Beenakker C. W. J., Random-matrix theory of quantum transport, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **69** (1997) 731.

[15] de Carvalho C. A. A. and Nussenzveig H. M., Time delay, *Phys. Rep.*, **364** (2002) 83.

[16] Brouwer P. W., Frahm K. M. and Beenakker C. W., Quantum mechanical time-delay matrix in chaotic scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78** (1997) 4737.

[17] Brouwer P. W., Frahm K. M. and Beenakker C. W., Distribution of the quantum mechanical time-delay matrix for a chaotic cavity, *Waves Random Media*, **9** (1999) 91.

[18] Cunden F. D. and Vivo P., Universal covariance formula for linear statistics on random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113** (2014) 070202 ; Cunden F. D., Statistical distribution of the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix for chaotic cavities, preprint arXiv:1412.2172 (2014).

[19] Vivo P., private communication (2014).

[20] Lehmann N., Savin D. V., Sokolov V. V. and Sommers H.-J., Time delay correlations in chaotic scattering: random matrix approach, *Physica D*, **86** (1995) 575.

[21] Mezzadri F. and Simm N. J., τ-function theory of quantum chaotic transport with $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **324** (2013) 465.

[22] Dean D. S. and Majumdar S. N., Large deviations of extreme eigenvalues of random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **97** (2006) 160201.

[23] Dean D. S. and Majumdar S. N., Extreme value statistics of eigenvalues of Gaussian random matrices, *Phys. Rev. E*, **77** (2008) 041108.

[24] Majumdar S. N. and Vergassola M., Large Deviations of the maximum eigenvalue for Wishart and Gaussian random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **102** (2009) 060601. ; Nadal C., Majumdar S. N. and Vergassola M., Phase Transitions in the distribution of bipartite entanglement of a random pure state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104** (2010) 110501. ; Nadal C., Majumdar S. N. and Vergassola M., Statistical distribution of quantum entanglement for a random bipartite state, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **142** (2011) 403.

[25] Forrester P. J., *Log-gases and random matrices* (Princeton University Press) 2010.

[26] Vivo P., Majumdar S. N. and Bohigas O., Probability distributions of linear statistics in chaotic cavities and associated phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B*, **81** (2010) 104202.

[27] Grabsch A., Majumdar S. and Texier C., in preparation (2015)

[28] Tricomi F. G., *Integral equations* (Interscience, London) 1957 pure Appl. Math. V.

[29] Marčenko V. A. and Pastur L. A., Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices, *Mat. Sbornik*, **72** (1967) 507.

[30] Majumdar S. N. and Schehr G., Top eigenvalue of a random matrix: large deviations and third order phase transition, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp.*, (2014) P01012.

[31] Majumdar S. N., Nadal C., Scardicchio A. and Vivo P., Index distribution of Gaussian random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **103** (2009) 220603.

[32] Szavits-Nossan J., Evans M. R. and Majumdar S. N., Constraint-driven condensation in large fluctuations of linear statistics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **112** (2014) 020602.

[33] Rau I. G., Amasha S., Grobis M., Potok R. M., Shtrikman H. and Goldhaber-Gordon D., Nonsaturating Dephasing Time at Low Temperature in an Open Quantum Dot, preprint arXiv:1210.0087 (2012).

[34] Savin D. V., Sommers H.-J. and Wieczorek W., Nonlinear statistics of quantum transport in chaotic cavities, *Phys. Rev. B*, **77** (2008) 125332.